# APPENDIX B

**PID Marking Rubric**

Engineering Project 3 UH109765 OC1 PID marking grid Write mark in each cell between mark bounds indicated

Student : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ ID \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ AP \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Component / grade** | **A (70 – 100)** | **B (60 – 69)** | **C (50 – 59)** | **D (40 – 49)** | **F (< 40)** |
| **Objectives**  **(10%)** | Excellent objectives, very well explained. | Good objectives, well explained. Room for improvement in terms of quantity or quality. | Basic objectives stated. Some gaps, could be better explained. | Poor attempt at stating project objectives. Some commentary, but of limited value. | Little or no attempt to state project objectives. |
| **Anticipated Benefits** **(10%)** | Excellent statement of anticipated benefits. No major missing points | Good statement of benefits. Some room for improvement.  | Basic anticipated benefits stated, but with some gaps | Poor attempt at stating anticipated benefits. Some commentary, but of limited value. | Little or no attempt to state anticipated benefits. |
| **Scope** **(10%)**  | Excellent scope, very well explained. | Good statement of scope. Some room for improvement.  | Basics of scope stated. Some gaps, could be better explained.  | Poor attempt at stating scope. | Little or no attempt to write scope. |
| **Deliverables** **(10%)** | Excellent attempt at stating deliverables. All expected areas covered. | Good attempt at stating deliverables, but some areas have been missed out. | Reasonable attempt to state deliverables. Rather short and does not address all expected aspects | Poor attempt to state deliverables. | No or very little attempt to state deliverables. |
| **Constraints****(7.5%)****E**(Report) | Excellent statement of constraints. | Good listing of constraints. sources. | Satisfactory listing of constraints.  | Poor attempt to list constraints.  | Little or no attempt to list constraints. |
| **Dependencies****(5%)****Dependencies** **(5%)** | Excellent listing of dependencies. | Good attempt to list dependencies. | Satisfactory attempt to list dependencies. | Poor attempt to list dependencies. | Little or no attempt to list dependencies. |
| **Assumptions** **(2.5%)** | Excellent listing of assumptions.  | Good attempt to list assumptions.  | Satisfactory attempt to list assumptions. | Poor attempt to list assumptions. | Little or no attempt to list assumptions. |
| **Key Stakeholders****(2.5)** | Excellent attempt to list key stakeholders. | Good attempt to list key stakeholders.  | Satisfactory attempt to list key stakeholders.  | Poor attempt to list key stakeholders. | Little or no attempt to list key stakeholders. |
| **Project Team Roles****(2.5)** | Excellent attempt to discuss project team roles. | Good attempt to discuss project team roles. | Satisfactory attempt to discuss project team roles. | Poor attempt to discuss project team roles. | Little or no attempt to discuss project team roles. |
| **Plan****(10%)** | Excellent attempt to create project plan. | Good attempt to create project plan. | Satisfactory attempt to create project plan. | Poor attempt to create project plan. | Little or no attempt to create project plan. |
| **Risks****(7.5%)** | Excellent attempt to state project risks. | Good attempt to state project risks.  | Satisfactory attempt to state project risks. | Poor attempt to state project risks. | Little or no attempt to state project risks. |
| **Costs****(2.5%)** | Excellent attempt to state project costs. | Good attempt to state project costs. | Satisfactory attempt to state project costs. | Poor attempt to state project costs. | Little or no attempt to state project costs. |
| **Success Criteria****(7.5)** | Excellent attempt to determine project costs. | Good attempt to determine project costs. | Satisfactory attempt to determine project costs. | Poor attempt to determine project costs. | Little or no attempt to determine project costs. |
| **Literature Search****(10%)** | Excellent references. Proper literature review conducted. References form high quality sources. | Good references. Evidence of a literature search. At least a third of references good sources. | Satisfactory references. Reasonable number, some from books, magazines or peer-reviewed sources. | Few references (< 5), all from notes or internet. | No references (0 marks) |
| **Equality & Diversity Implications****(2.5%)** | Excellent attempt to identify and consider equality & diversity implications. | Good attempt to identify and consider equality & diversity implications. | Satisfactory attempt to identify and consider equality & diversity implications. | Poor attempt to identify and consider equality & diversity implications. | Little or no attempt to identify and consider equality & diversity implications. |
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